

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.8166 OF 2005

Deepak @ Dhruv Tuljiram

Dhirmalani .. Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.Sanjeev P. Kadam for applicant

Mrs.S.D.Shinde, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 20th December 2005.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Kadam for applicant and
Ms.Shinde, A.P.P. for State. Perused the

application and annexures thereto. All that is alleged as far as present applicant is concerned is that some documents have been found at his business premises which is nothing but a Typing Centre. Applicant runs the same for his livelihood. Other accused have been arrested. Applicant has been arrested on 25th October 2005 and was remanded to police custody for 14 days. Thereafter, he is in magisterial custody. Considering the fact that he runs a typing centre for his livelihood and that his role is limited

and further considering the fact that documents mentioned in the remand application are seized, this application deserves to be granted. More so, when the applicant has permanent place of residence in Mumbai, proof whereof is annexed and his business premises are also in Mumbai. Applicant can be imposed conditions of attending G.B.C.B., C.I.D. Unit II. Hence, the following order:-

i) Applicant shall be released on bail in connection with C.R.No.130 of 2005 registered with G.B.C.B., C.I.D. Unit II Mumbai in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one/two sureties in the like amount.

ii) Applicant shall attend G.B.C.B., C.I.D., Unit II, Mumbai once a week on every Friday between 5.00 p.m. and 7.00 p.m.

iii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement or threat to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with prosecution evidence.

iv) Applicant shall cooperate with the learned Trial Judge for expeditious disposal of the trial. Any attempt by

the applicant to delay the trial may be ground for cancellation of bail.

v) Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

vi) Application disposed of.

vii) Parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J)